

Proceedings of the Farm Livelihoods Half-yearly progress review meeting for FY 2017-18: held at NIRD & PR, Hyderabad from 5th to 7th December, 2017

Farm Livelihoods half-yearly progress review meeting of FY 2017-18 was held at NIRD & PR, Hyderabad from 5th to 7th December, 2017.

The meeting was chaired by Director, RL. Representatives of the SRLMs and one MKSP NGO partner attended the meeting. The review meeting focused the review of farm livelihoods progress under SRLM AAP, MKSP AAP, MKSP and Value chain projects under World Bank special fund project of the respective States. (List of participant in annexure 'A')

NSO Pradan took a session on best practice documentation and another session on Generic livelihoods. FAO team made presentation on knowledge management and framework. FAO presented their work on selection of Commodity for value chain development and Custom Hiring Center. These studies were done in the context of the SRLMs. All the SRLMs found these sessions very relevant and shared their views and feedbacks on these topics.

A. Farm Livelihoods Outreach under MKSP till September, 2017 (as presented by SRLM):

	State	District		Block		Village		Mahila Kishan	
		T	A	T	A	T	A	T	A
1	Andhra Pradesh	15	15	423	427	8652	9699	1069400	1301107
2	Bihar	13	13	20	20	1192	836	125154	135012
3	Chhattisgarh	12	12	20	19	696	317	66255	26725
4	Gujarat	4	4	9	9	392	321	29320	24587
5	Haryana	4	4	4	4	153	75	12000	3382
6	Jharkhand	33	25	65	47	2478	1258	125130	66614
7	Karnataka	4	4	7	7	390	407	19000	19000
8	Kerala	32	17	299	284	2079	1873	228500	339288
9	Maharashtra	24	16	152	91	5340	2686	222581	108815
10	Madhya Pradesh	12	12	17	17	627	702	40000	37166
11	Odisha	18	10	47	31	1456	775	52324	38620
12	Rajasthan	20	20	37	37	1364	911	80340	59824
13	Tamil Nadu	3	3	10	10	166	123	16800	14800
14	Telangana	9	9	279	279	4582	4582	1022291	1022291
15	Uttar Pradesh	22	12	25	15	1250	613	87500	31273
16	West Bengal	8	5	18	11	0	765	62000	60790
		233	181	1432	1308	30817	25943	3258595	3289294

B. Farm Livelihoods Outreach under Value Chain development projects till September, 2017 (as presented by SRLM):

	State	Project	Blocks		Village		Mahila Kishan	
			T	A	T	A	T	A
1	Bihar	Value Chain	30	0	600	0	36000	0
2	Chhattisgarh	Value Chain	5	0			5500	0
3	Jharkhand	Agriculture Value Chain	11	11	560	560	15000	8532
4	Jharkhand	Lac & Tamarind	12	12	300	185	6000	4502
5	Maharashtra	Agro Horticulture	9	9	670	855	19800	32225
6	Maharashtra	Goatery	13	13	800	927	22000	35884
7	Maharashtra	Fisheries	12	12	300	474	10800	8217
8	Odisha	Value chain	12	12	83	83	11600	8312
9	Rajasthan	Agriculture Value Chain	3	0	219	0	13699	0
		TOTAL	107	69	3532	3084	140399	97672

C. Status of Financial progress of the MKSP projects (as update shared by the state missions):

S r.	State	Type of Project	Project/ component	Total outlay [lakh INR]	Total fund released [lakh INR]				Expenditure (in lakh INR) till Sept 17	%age of expenditure against fund received
					Central Share received	State Share received	Interest accrued	Total available with SRLM		
1	Bihar	AAP	Project 1(Sustainable Agriculture)	9,760.00	4,758.00	2,439.73		7,197.73	2383.4	33%
2	CG	NGO PIA	MKSP - Agriculture (BSM)	299.10	168.17	56.08	4.69	228.94	205.04	90%
3	CG	NGO PIA	MKSP- TASAR (PRADAN)	802.71	442.92	204.26	17.61	664.78	396.4502	60%

4	CG	AAP	MKSP - Agriculture	3,131.4	234.85	313.14	10.71	558.70	515.21	92%
5	Gujarat	NGO PIA	Project 1	2,083.8	499.18	166.390		665.571	883.910	133%
6	Gujarat	AAP	Project 1	152.56	22.88	-		22.88	0	0%
7	Haryana	AAP	Project 1	1,169.00	175.00	117.00	5.83	298.00	119	40%
8	Jharkhand	NGO PIA	Lok Prerna	130	53.62	17.88	1.1	72.60	66.78	93.40%
9	Jharkhand	NGO PIA	SPWD	471.60	194.52	64.83	6.12	265.47	167.48	63.09%
10	Jharkhand	NGO PIA	Udyogini	250.7	47.00	-	0.34	47.34	31.50	67.01%
11	Jharkhand	NGO PIA	SRIJAN	255.00	103.78	36.42	3.98	195.16	127.72	65.44%
12	Jharkhand	NGO PIA	RDA	149.92	44.60	9.37	2.78	56.74	44.53	82.50%
13	Jharkhand	NGO PIA	SUPPORT	234.75	44.02	85.10	2.55	131.66	107.76	81.85%
14	Jharkhand	NGO PIA	LEADS	188.76	77.85	25.95	1.84	105.65	97.42	93.84%
15	Jharkhand	NGO PIA	TSRD	131.87	64.29	8.24	1.62	74.15	52.82	71.24%
16	Jharkhand	AAP	MKSP AAP	6,664.02	2,998.70	1,999.20	56.66	5,054.56	1474.41	29.17%
17	Jharkhand	AAP	Medicinal Plant	1,393.00	83.58	-		83.58	43.53	52.08%
18	Jharkhand	AAP	RESHAM	4,654.73	279.28	-		279.28	25.72	9.21%
19	Kerala	PIA	MKSP Kudumbashree	6397	2,709.00	690.00	420	3,819.00	2868	75%
20	Kerala	AAP	MKSP - attapaddy	1,590.00	238.00	-	36.00	274.00	189	69%
21	Kerala	AAP	Spl. Fund Value Chain	1,589.00	238.00	-		238.00	80	34%
22	Kerala	PIA	CoMPT	6,062.00	2,271.00	1,423.00	541.00	4,235.00	1843	44%
23	Maharashtra	NGO PIA	Project 1- BAIF Tasar	1,013.01	556.76	253.21	17.99	827.96	483.48683	58%

24	Maharashtra	NGO PIA	Project 2-MSSRF	582.00	378.00	108.00	14.00	500.00	477	95%
25	Maharashtra	AAP	MKSP - AAP	6,698.67	1,004.80	335.00	4.21	1,344.01	619.29	46%
26	Odisha	NGO PIA	Sustainable Agriculture	3850.5	2,033.58	1,355.70	91.82	3,481.10	2605.22	75%
27	Odisha	AAP	Medicinal Plants	624.00	374.4	249.6		624	0	0%
28	Odisha	AAP	Tasar	855.00	513	342		855	0	0%
29	Rajasthan	NGO PIA	Project 1	1,123.89	758.70	276.00	14.94	1,049.64	1044.57	100%
30	Rajasthan	AAP	Project 1	4,233.33	634.95	423.30	-	1,058.25	200	19%
31	Tamil Nadu	AAP	Project 1	1,596.00	239.40	159.60		399.00	352	88%
32	Telangana SERP	PIA	Sustainable Agriculture	107.23	45.755625	15.251875		61.0075	62.87	103%
33	UP	AAP	Project 1	63.36	9.56	6.37		14.79		0%
34	West Bengal	NGO PIA	LKP	1,351	759.25	248.88	10.34	1,018.47	956.54	94%
35	West Bengal	AAP	TASAR AAP	823.65	49.42	32.95		82.37	0	0%

D. Status of Financial progress of the Value Chain development projects (as update shared by the state missions):

S r .	State	Project	Total outlay [lakh INR]			Total fund released [lakh INR]			Expenditure (in lakh INR) till Sept 17	%age of expenditure against fund received
			Central Share	State Share	Total	Central Share received	State Share received	Total available with SRLM		
1	CG	NTFP	264.00	176.00	440.00	132.00	88.00	220.00	0	0%
2	Jharkhand	Lac and Tamarind	625.8	417.2	1,043.0	312.90	208.60	521.50	144.54	27.72%
3	Maharashtra	Spl. Fund Value Chain	882.6	588.4	1,471.00	441.30	294.20	735.50	387.32	53%
4	Maharashtra	Project 1	738	492	1,230.00	369.00	246.00	615.00	575.53	94%
5	Maharashtra	Project 2	200.4	133.6	334.00	100.20	66.80	-		
6	Odisha	PG/PC	1,685.72	1,123.81	1,405.00	896.00	591.00	1,405.00	455.14	32%
7	Rajasthan	Project 1	377.00	251.00	628					0%

Important action points discussed during the meeting:

1. Financial progress of all the MKSP projects are very slow. This is a major cause of concern. All the SRLMs must expedite the financial progress of the MKSP projects and to ensure the same for all the MKSP projects under NGO partners in the state. Dedicated staffs with relevant experience and education must be deputed at State and Block level to expedite the project roll out. State missions must submit documents for the next installments of MKSP to MoRD urgently.
2. Promotion of agro-ecological practices is non-negotiable of DAY-NRLM. SRLMs must ensure the same in all the interventions under SRLM like MKSP AAP, MKSP by NGO partners and Livelihoods component under SRLM AAP.
3. One household must adopt at least 5-6 major interventions to be considered as Mahila Kishan covered (1-2 interventions each under 4 major areas of interventions: soil, seed, water and plant protection).
4. Convergence with MGNREGA to create livelihood assets (animal shed, farm pond, compost pits etc) of Mahila Kishans need serious attention of the state missions. States may prepare strategy and fix target to create the assets. Regular review of the progress is required at Block, District and state level.
5. SRLMs are entering into partnerships with various organizations, agencies, Institutes and other govt. programs. SRLM should give more focus on time bound, output centric partnerships rather than only input centric support.
6. All the SRLMs must ensure completion of Mahila Kishan and CRP data entry into DAY-NRLM livelihoods MIS with priority.
7. All states must have at least one staff responsible for livelihoods MIS.
8. CHC funding and purchase of equipment may be done in two-three phases depending on successful roll out of the CHCs and demand of tools renting.
9. State missions need to ensure small equipment in the CHC rather than purchasing high end machines like tractor. Scope of convergence with other schemes for arranging bigger machineries need to be explored.
10. Module based structured livelihoods training need to be provided to all the Livelihoods staffs at state and block level.
11. A Livelihoods staff training protocol and module need to be prepared by NMMU for all the state missions. All the SRLMs may share their existing training module with NMMU to enrich the quality of the modules.
12. Random field level verification and monitoring with 0.5-1% households may be planned by NRPs in MKSP NGO PIA projects.
13. Livelihoods team must plan LH interventions from SRLM AAP fund of DAY-NRLM. Capacity building fund should be used for training of Krishi Sakhi, Pashu Sakhi and women farmers.
14. Livelihoods interventions with SHG members under SRLM AAP must be continued with same HH for at least 3-4 years till they are out of poverty.

15. States need to organize consultations with livelihoods staffs of other states and NMMU. It will increase cross learning opportunities among states.
16. State Mission staffs along with community persons should visit other states for cross learning. This way, field level successful models can be understood and replicated in different states.
17. CRPs must receive intensive training for 30-40 days in first two years and to ensure quality social capital.
18. Only convergence with Govt projects to be reported as convergence activity, not the small initiatives and joint interventions with any partner.
19. SRLM with Tasar projects sanctioned, must prepare indent of DFL immediately for next season and ensure with the suppliers for quality DFL.
20. States are signing MOUs with different partners. SRLM need to share the MOU documents with MoRD.
21. Maharashtra has a goat nursery model. Other states may understand this model and if found sustainable may be replicated in respective states.
22. Livelihoods projects must be rolled out as soon as possible after the sanction letter is received and DPR preparation, baseline survey and inception report preparation should be initiated simultaneously.
23. NPM shops may run by an individual rather than group of people/SHG.
24. Date of EC meeting must be considered as Date of project initiation for all MKSP and value chain projects.
25. The key points of the draft MKSP value chain guidelines were discussed with the SRLMs.

Madhya Pradesh:

1. MP SRLM confirmed that, documents for third installment of MKSP AAP will be submitted by 15th January, 2017.
2. Livelihoods projects majorly focuses on agriculture, so SRLM may recruit agri graduate as YPs in each block urgently rather waiting for very high quality staffs and the project get delayed in due course.
3. Adoption rate of the interventions (e.g. kitchen garden) are very less for NGO PIA project. This needs attention.
4. MP is targeting to reach 1 million kitchen garden. This intervention need to be phased properly. Adoption and continuation of the KGs is important and must be tracked.
5. Moringa nursery may to raise and can be transplanted in KGs.
6. Livelihoods asset creation under MGNREGA convergence is not satisfactory in MP. More assets need to be created. Village level plan need to be passed in Gram Sabha meeting and included in MGNREGA AAP.
7. Convergence with RKVY need to be done. Power tiller can be brought from RKVY for CHC.

8. MP may prepare and submit a big MKSP AAP by 15th January, 2017.
9. Integrated Livestock Management (of BAIF): This need to be relooked. It's not a Community owned model. It must be female oriented program and VO and SHG must own such projects including all the assets. Bike and other assets must not be bought from NRLM fund. Only pashu sakhhi model need to be promoted NRLM fund in livestock.
10. **Value Chain** - The SRLM may submit the request for the second installment for the Special Fund value chain projects.
11. **MIS**
 - a. CRP data entry needs to be taken up
 - b. Mahila Kisan data entry for the MKSP AAP needs to be expedited
 - c. The SRLM may communicate a suitable date for conducting training on the Farm Livelihoods MIS to the SRLM staff.

Jharkhand:

1. Financial due diligence is required for all the Livelihoods projects. Firstly set up of fund routing mechanism need to be grounded by JSLPS for MKSP and value chain projects and then money may be released to field.
2. State need to do plan field monitoring in mission mode and closely monitor the MKSP projects of NGOs.
3. Monthly review must be done by SRLM for MKSP NGO projects.
4. Outreach of PIAs like SPWD, Udyogini are very less. SRLM must be taken necessary follow up and monitoring.
5. NGO PIA projects 3rd installment docs will be send by 15th Jan. as confirmed by SRLM. Timeline need to be maintained.
6. State has confirmed that, documents for 2nd installment of SA value chain project will be submitted by 15th January.
7. Three months support from TDF was very useful as informed by state. Now SRLM need continue the roll out of Tasar MKSP project and take support from Technical support Agency if approved.
8. State must cross verify all the data shared by NGOs.
9. JSLPS need to share the MOUs signed between JSLPS and different partners with MoRD.
10. State may plan for optimum utilization of staffs depending on seasonality of crops and NTFPs.
11. Lac and Tasar intervention have been rolled out very efficiently in Jharkhand and need to excel this initiation.
12. For lac projects PG/PCs need to be developed as the project is reaching larger scale.
13. For commodities delivery point need to be checked before finalizing deals. As transport cost and loss during transport may be higher to reach far away markets though higher

market price may be realized there. Hence, proper exploration and preparation is required.

14. State must work on LH institutional architecture first with expertise to make it sustainable. SRLM may organize one workshop with experts on community institution mechanism.
15. JSLPS may bring field level experts in fold rather not so experience teams.
16. Issues from SRLM: State to SRLM fund release is very slow.
17. As JSLPS has already done lot of work in SRI, so they may prepare a matrix of best practice, variety based on type of land and soil type. It may be referred by all the states.
18. State need to share CRP list and training calendar with NMMU: Krishi and pashu sakhi
19. State need to share POP of training module of ASA-IGI for krishi sakhi with NMMU.
20. Goat marketing may be explored for open market as well.
21. One farmer may plant 150-200 banana plants for sustainable model. Only then farmer's year around involvement and profits will be effective.
22. State may prepare a new MKSP AAP on production of banana etc.
23. State should not established PCs if it is not required at the field, just for the sake of setting up PCs.
24. SRLM AAP LH component data need to be update in NRLM livelihoods MIS.
25. Livelihoods staff at block/field level (SRLM AAP component): Specific training module on livelihoods as per NRLM themes need to be done. It should not be transactional training on sub-sectors of agriculture.
26. **Value Chain Projects –**
 - a. State has confirmed that, documents for 2nd installment of SA value chain project will be submitted by 15th January.
 - b. JSLPS need to plan buyer seller meet with big players from the market for various commodities. Odisha has planned one on 5th Dec.

Potato, chilli, banana value chain

- c. The DPR of the project need to be submitted at the earliest.
- d. The financial progress of the project needs to be expedited.
- e. The institutional mechanism for promoting the value chain intervention may be clearly defined. The SRLM has to first conduct a business viability study before establishing a PC.
- f. The SRLM has requested for support in implementation from a three member team from the Value Chain Support Cell. The value chain support cell has already supported the SRLM in preparation of the DPR.
- g. For banana market, dynamics and supply chain needs to be explored before expanding to large scale plantation and production.
- h. The SRLM may clearly define the logistics while finalizing discussions with buyers. As transport cost and loss during transport may be higher to reach far away

markets though higher market price may be realized there. Hence, proper exploration and preparation is required.

- i. State is working in multiple commodities in value chain. Two member Techno Serve team would be very useful as shared by SRLM.
- j. Lemon grass business need to be relooked as its price varies every season. Community may face huge loss few season due to this intervention. More study need to be done before taking up the intervention.

Lac and Tamarind value chain

- k. The DPR of the project may be shared with NMMU
- l. The SRLM may define the institutional structure that would be most suitable for the Lac and Tamarind intervention. The SRLM has to first conduct a business viability study before establishing a PC.
- m. JSLPS may plan a buyer seller meet with big players from the market for various commodities. They may consult Odisha SRLM as they have conducted such meets for agriculture produce as well as medicinal plants.
- n. The SRLM may clearly define the logistics while finalizing discussions with buyers. As transport cost and loss during transport may be higher to reach far away markets though higher market price may be realized there. Hence, proper exploration and preparation is required.
- o. By end of December PC will be formed for NTFP and Agri commodity.

Bihar:

1. MKSP in the state has very slow progress. There is no dedicated staff deployed for MKSP AAP. This is one major reason of slow expenditure. Dedicated staff need to be deployed and expense under MKSP activities must be booked under MKSP budget only.
2. Bihar should focus on setting up many more Custom Hiring Centers within the budget range of 2- 3 lakh. It may have power tiller, reaper along with small tools. Convergence with Agriculture department need to be done for CHCs.
3. Bihar must create pool of trained Pashu Sakhi in large numbers. And focus of livestock intervention should be small ruminant.
4. MGNREGA convergence has not taken off in the state. State team should focus on livelihoods asset creation with immediate effect.
5. CRP data need to be updated in NRLM Livelihoods MIS.
6. Alternative models for machan may be explored (concrete pole, convergence with MGNREGA etc).
7. **Value Chain project –**

- a. For the dairy value chain development project, the procurement plan may be prepared as part of the DPR and the procurement activities need to be initiated at the earliest.
8. **MIS:-**
- a. CRP data entry needs to be taken up
 - b. Mahila Kisan data entry need to be completed

Maharashtra:

1. MKSP AAP: Out of 139 blocks only in 44 blocks BPM, LH are in place. It's a serious concern and all staffs must be deployed at the blocks urgently. Make shift staffs are not sufficient for ensure quality of LH intervention.
 2. MSSRF MKSP project completion report need to be submitted by MSRLM to MoRD.
 3. It has been discussed that under the MKSP project on Tasar, there are drop out of Tasar rearing households in next few seasons after initiation. This is very serious concern. MSRLM and BAIF need to prepare action plan to ensure continuation of tasar rearing of all the HHs.
 4. Major goal of the Tasar project was to ensure DFL availability and continuation of the rearing. BAIF need to ensure availability of quality DLF on time. MSRLM must monitor the process.
 5. BAIF will submit docs for next installment by January 2018.
 6. Farmer Field School protocol need to be shared with NMMU by the state team.
 7. Important objective of the LH interventions under NRLM is to provide LH support to poor HHs. Constructing institutions/ building may not be a profitable model for poor households. It will be profitable for support staffs or CRPs rather than poor SHG farmers. This kind of model need to be relooked and analyzed before grounding.
 8. Convergence asset creation numbers are negligible in Maharashtra. State must strategies the process for convergence and blocks staffs may be given targets and monitored timely to achieve the target.
 9. Fund release need to be done through proper institutionally fund routing channel.
 10. **Value Chain projects –**
 - a. One PC has been registered for floriculture. The SRLM may start the process of recruiting the staff of the PC with the support of the TSA.
 - b. The SRLM may provide details of the procurement and sales of the commodities by the PC.
11. **MIS**
- a. CRP data entry needs to be taken up
 - b. Mahila Kisan data entry for the MKSP AAP and Special Fund projects needs to be initiated in Maharashtra

Odisha:

1. All MKSP partner NGO PIAs must integrate Pashu Sakhi in the project. State must ensure that and continuous monitoring is required. Pashu Sakhi must be trained and deployed for adjacent two villages.
2. LH asset creation under MGNREGA convergence negligible in Odisha. State mission must ensure that SRLM and the NGO PIAs prepare strategies and assets are created. SRLM may set target for PIAs and provide required support to ensure that.
3. Expenditure of MKSP partners are slow. SRLM must ask them to expedite the project.
4. SRP to CRP training data need to be captured. State may write to NMMU to depute NRPs during the trainings.
5. The SRLM needs to submit inception report, DPR, Baseline survey, M& E framework, technical protocol for two approved MKSP AAPs.
6. OLM need to verify Producer Company data of MKSP NGO partners. Whether the PCs are formed during MKSP tenure or these are old ones.
7. **Value chain projects –**
 - a. The DPR of the project needs to be submitted
 - b. The SRLM may transfer the funds allocated for the PC to the account of the PC.
 - c. The SRLM may complete the recruitment of the PC manpower for all the 3 PCs formed.
 - d. State must ensure immediate submission of documents for Value chain projects as these projects have smaller window to complete the project.
8. **MIS**
 - a. CRP data entry needs to be taken up
 - b. Mahila Kisan data entry for the MKSP AAP and Special Fund projects needs to be initiated in Odisha
 - c. The PIA may be directed to complete the entry of Mahila Kisan in the Farm Livelihoods MIS.

Chhattisgarh:

1. BSM need to submit docs for 3rd installment. SRLM need to ensure the same.
2. For Tasar project (Pradan), letter need to be send to MoRD for expansion of the area.
3. CG may produce nucleus seeds of tasar that may be sent to Jharkhand tasar project. This option need to be explored.
4. CRPs should be groomed with regular training by SRPs (especially in the first year, training in every 2-3 months).
5. State need to submit DPR, inception report and baseline report for the MKSP AAPs.

6. Value Chain Projects –

- a. The SRLM may share the details of the EOI and TOR for taking on board the technical support agency
- b. The SRLM has requested for support from Value Chain Support Cell for the implementation of the project
- c. The SRLM may initiate the recruitment of the State level staff planned under the project.

7. MIS

- a. CRP data entry needs to be taken up
- b. Mahila Kisan data entry for the MKSP AAP needs to be initiated

Andhra Pradesh:

1. SERP representative confirmed that, the required documents for second and third installment will be submitted by 15th Dec, 2017. Timeline need to be adhered.
2. State need to share concrete intervention plan and execution plan including HR plan with rest of the balance budget. Focus areas may be: set up CHCs in all villages. Mandle level intervention plans need to be prepared.
3. NTFP project was not presented by SERP team. State must regularly monitor and report status of these projects as well. State need to share the data and submit required docs for NTFP projects by 15th Dec.
4. SERP, AP need to expedite the roll out of the MKSP projects as soon as the balance fund is received and plan for the closure of the projects.
5. SERP has managed to bring small ruminant insurance at 3% premium. It is a good initiative.
6. SERP team must monitor NGO partner projects closely. Data of the NGO partner's MKSP projects were not provided during the review.

7. MIS

- a. CRP data entry needs to be taken up
- b. Mahila Kisan data provided in excel format needs to be tagged with the DAY-NRLM SHG data in the Farm Livelihoods MIS.

Rajasthan:

1. Financial progress of the MKSP AAP is quite slow. State need to expedite the financial progress of SRLM AAP. SRLM confirmed that the documents for 2nd installment will be submitted to MoRD by 31st January, 2018.

2. As informed by SRLM team, CMF project need to be closed. SRLM confirmed that, completion report will be send to MoRD by Jan 17.
3. Independent evaluation Report for CMF MKSP project to be shared by SRLM to MoRD.
4. SRLM need to ensure promotion of non-chemical agriculture through all the programs as per NRLM mandate.
5. State must ensure that Ngo Partner (Cmf) promotes non-chemical agriculture only as it is one core value of MKSP.
6. SRLM need to ensure completion of data entry of Mahila Kishan and CRPs in DAY-NRLM MIS by Dec 17.
7. Castration kit may be included in Pashu Sakhi kit if necessary in some cases.
8. SRLM need to explore opportunity to converge with other programs to bring under CHCs. State should not use NRLM fund for high-end machineries like tractor.
9. Convergence works with MGNREGA have been taken up nicely in the state.
10. **Value Chain projects –**
 - a. The SRLM may prepare the TOR for taking on board the technical support agency. The same may be shared with NMMU.
12. **MIS** - CRP data entry needs to be taken up

Uttar Pradesh:

1. There is hardly any progress under MKSP project till date. It is a very serious concern and state must immediate take action to expedite the roll out of MKSP project.
2. SRLM may plan a consultation with NMMU team for detail action plan on MKSP and value chain project.
3. More number of quality staffs are required at state level in UP along with Block level LH staffs. SRLM must ensure HR at state and Block level with relevant qualification and experience immediately.
4. Training and deployment of the CRPs must be taken up urgently.
5. CHCs need to be set up urgently.
6. SRLM may organize consultations with livelihoods staffs of other states. It will ensure cross learning among states. And UPSRLM can learn from earlier mistakes of other states and successful model can be replicate.
7. Only agro-ecological practices in four major areas need to be focused under MKSP.
8. **MIS**

- a. CRP data entry needs to be taken up
- b. Mahila Kisan data entry for the MKSP AAP needs to be expedited

Telangana:

1. SERP confirmed that all the required documents will to be submitted by 15th Dec, 2017 to MoRD.
2. As suggested by AS, RL to SPM, LH: SERP may write to MoRD to make SERP, Telangana as PIA of the MKSP project.
3. Consent letter from all Zila Samikshas would be required mentioning consensus that SERP will be the PIA. Consent letter from principal secretary, RD may be required.
4. State need to share concrete intervention plan and execution plan including HR plan with rest of the balance budget. Mandle level intervention plans need to be prepared.
5. While submitting CHC proposal under MKSP, detail business model need to be submitted.
6. Mandle level plans need to be prepared for MKSP available budget.
7. Animal sheds creation under MGNREGA convergence is negligible in the state. SERP must focus on asset creation under MGNREGA.
8. MKSP project of the NGO partner (Koval foundation) need to be monitored by SERP regularly and report may be shared to MoRD.
9. Pashu Sakhi must be integrated in MKSP.
- 10.** Krishi Sakhi and Pashu Sakhi must be female.
- 11. MIS**
 - a. CRP data entry needs to be taken up immediately
 - b. Mahila Kisan data provided in excel format needs to be tagged with the DAY-NRLM SHG data in the Farm Livelihoods MIS.

West Bengal:

1. There is no progress in the MKSP project on Tasar. It is serious area of concern. SRLM must take necessary actions to expedite the roll out. TSA may be taken on board urgently.
2. WB mission may take support from Resource person from NIRD's list of LH NRPs. It may be taken from SRLM AAP fund.
3. WB LH team must focus on taking livelihood activities with SRLM AAP fund (LH component).
4. State mission must recruit quality staffs with relevant experience and education to run LH programs. Dedicated livelihoods staffs are required and Blocks.
5. WBSRLM may hire LH NRPs from NIRD's list for support in livelihood interventions and MKSP proposal preparation.

6. State can take support from existing MKSP partners to prepare new MKSP proposal.
7. State may concentrate to set up small CHC with SRLM AAP fund.
8. SRP training and Pashu Sakhi and Krishi Sakhi training by these SRPs must be focused. If support is required NMMU team can provide NRP support.

Kerala:

1. State share of Kudumbshree and Atapadi MKSP projects are still pending. SRLM need to take immediate action to ensure state share.
2. For Atapadi project staff like CEO need to be taken from as staff of PC only from MKSP fund. CEO must be accountable for profit making of the PCs.
3. SRLM need to ensure regular field visit and monitoring of COMPT project. Documents like QPR, financial and financial progress, extension etc need to be sent to MoRD.
4. Kudumbshree must send the documents for next installment for all three MKSP projects.
5. **MIS**
 - a. CRP data entry needs to be taken up
 - b. Mahila Kisan data entry need to be completed

Tamil Nadu:

1. Pashu Sakhi must be incorporated in the running MKSP AAP. It has been suggested repetitively but no concrete plan can be seen till now.
2. MGNREGA convergence work is negligible till now. SRLM need to strategize and set target for higher number of asset creation under MGNREGA convergence.
3. Convergence need to be done with other departments and schemes for CHC bigger machineries.
4. Specific livelihoods plan need to be prepared and shared with NMMU for the landless HHs who have been considered under MKSP AAP-1.
5. The SRLM may propose a value chain development project in the State.

J & K:

1. CRP training need to be organized and NMMU may be informed. NRPs may be deployed for direct training of CRPs in J & K.
2. State need to prepare DPR, inception report and baseline survey. NRP support may be taken by SRLM from approved budget.
3. SRLM may organized a field visit and follow up workshop to work on the roll out strategy of the MKSP AAP. NMMU may be informed so that NMMU can depute staff for the workshop.

Haryana:

1. Physical and financial progress of the MKSP AAP project is extremely slow. State must take immediate action to expedite the progress of the project.
2. State must recruit dedicated LH staff with relevant education and experience at state and block level immediately.
3. State may hire NRPs directly from MKSP or SRLM AAP fund for required support.
4. **MIS**
 - a. CRP data entry needs to be taken up
 - b. Mahila Kisan data entry need to be completed

Gujarat:

1. Physical and financial progress of the MKSP AAP project is extremely slow. State must take immediate action to expedite the progress of the project.
2. Dedicated staffs with relevant qualification and experience must be placed for MKSP AAP at Block and State level.
3. State level dedicated livelihoods person must be taken on board urgently.
4. The SRLM needs to submit the proposal for 2nd installment of MKSP AAP. The SRLM needs to prepare and submit inception report, DPR, Baseline survey and technical protocol.
5. DPR for MKSP PIA project need to be submitted.
6. **MIS**
 - a. CRP data entry needs to be taken up
 - b. Mahila Kisan data entry need to be completed

List of Annexure

Annexure A: List of Participants

Annexure A: Participant List

Farm Livelihoods Review Meeting, 5th to 7th December, 2017 at NIRD&PR, Hyderabad

S. No.	Name	Designation	State	Organization	Qualification	Date of Birth	Gender	Moble No.	E-mail Id
1	Anita Baghel	Director (F&A)	New Delhi	NMMU			F		anita.baghel26@nic.in
2	Alok De	LEAD-Farm LH	New Delhi	NMMU			M		alok.nrlp@gmail.com
3	Gayatri Rao	SME	New Delhi	NMMU	MBA		F	9820080676	gayatri.srao@gmail.com
4	Arnab Bose	PE	New Delhi	NMMU	MBA	13.07.1986	M	7629000016	arnabbose.contact@gmail.com
5	Bajranga Pattnaik	NMM	New Delhi	NMMU	MBA	25.06.1978	M	8249013593	virbajranga@gmail.com
6	Vivek Kunj	NMM	New Delhi	NMMU	MBA		M	8178737312	viveknrlm@gmail.com
7	P. Penchala Kumar	Consultant	Andhra Pradesh	APSRLM	B. Sc, PGDAEM	31.05.1982	M	7331169343	penchalag@gmail.com
8	Komal Kumari	YP	Andhra Pradesh	APSRLM	PGDFM	23.09.1991	F	7331169346	komal.serp@gmail.com
9	Anil Kumar Yadav	PM	Bihar	BRLPS	MBA	30.04.1984	M	7808920108	anilk@brlp.in
10	Sumit Kapoor	PM	Bihar	BRLPS	PGDM	09.12.1986	M	9771472701	sumit@brlp.in
11	Krishan Gopal Mittal	ASPM	Chhattisgarh	CGSRLM	MSW	20.06.1984	M	8770601979	aspmlh.cgsrlm@gmail.com
12	Ranjit Modri	OSD	Gujarat	GLPC	MRM	22.02.1984	M	9099955909	ramnitmodri@gmail.com
13	Bhavana Baria	APM	Gujarat	GLPC	MA	22.10.1975	F	9909209802	bhavanabinburia@yahoo.in

14	Jagruti Vasava	TLM	Gujarat	GLPC	MSW	14.03.1988	F	7622861534	vasava.jagrutiin@gmail.com
15	Bhupender Singh	YP	Haryana	HSRLM	B. Sc	13.03.1986	M	9050163670	bhupenderbahia@gmail.com
16	Ramesh Thakur	MM	Haryana	HSRLM	MALLB	05.05.1954	M	8146535454	aajeevikaskills.haryana@gmail.com
17	Abha Kotwal	Block Project Manager	Jammu & Kashmir	JKSRLM	PGDRD, MBA	07.06.1979	F	7006087194	bpmbishnah@gmail.com
18	Sushil Sharma	PM	Jammu & Kashmir	JKSRLM	PGDRDM	04.07.1987	M	9906903801	sushil.sharma279@gmail.com
19	Akhoury Prabhas	PM	Jharkhand	JSLPS	PGDRD	19.07.1972	M	9334158718	akhouryprabhas@gmail.com
20	Dr. P. K. Singh	SPM	Jharkhand	JSLPS	Ph. D	28.11.1968	M	8862820090	praveenksingh2007@gmail.com
21	Swadheen Patnaik	TSO	Jharkhand	JSLPS	PGDRD		M	9471125139	swadhinpattanaik26@gmail.com
22	G. Venkatesh	ASPM	Karnataka	KSRLM	M. Sc	01.08.1975	M	9916194567	vga139@gmail.com
23	Dr. K. Rahul	TA	Kerala	Kudumbashree		24.03.1986	M	8281655705	mkspkshree@gmail.com
24	Manish Singh	SPM	Madhya Pradesh	MPSRLM	M. Sc		M	9109100445	spmag@mpraf.nic.in
25	Vinay Singh	DM	Madhya Pradesh	MPSRLM	M. Sc	20.08.1986	M	7222992883	vinaysinghdpid@gmail.com
26	Yogesh Bhamare	SMM	Maharashtra	MSRLM	M. Sc		M	9422737437	yogeshbhamane@gmail.com
27	Niraj Nakhate	DM	Maharashtra	MSRLM	M. Phil		M	7350734075	ytl.dmlnf@gmail.com
28	Rajendra Ingle	SAP	Maharashtra	MSRLM	M. Sc, MBA	30.12.1973	M	9421801727	rajivkrajiv@rediffmail.com
29	Dr. D. V. Jadhav	SR. PO	Maharashtra	BAIF	M. Sc, Ph. D	05.06.1977	M	9405323669	jdhanaji@gmail.com

30	Prafulla Kumar Samal	Consultant	Odisha	OLM	M. Sc	04.03.1955	M	7077789016	samalpk55@gmail.com
31	Renu Basumatary	Young Professional	Odisha	OLM	MA		F	9422596305	yp.renuolm16@gmail.com
32	Gangadhar Bongurala	Manager	Odisha	OLM	MSW	25.05.1990	M	9004060424; 7093077510	gangadhar93raj@gmail.com
33	Pramod Sahu	Consultant	PRADAN	PRADAN		24.06.1973	M	9727006296	2006.pramod@gmail.com
34	Nityananda Dhal	Integrator	PRADAN	PRADAN	MPS	14.02.1972	M	9717968857	nityananddhal@pradan.net
35	Kirit Kumar Patel	SPM	Rajasthan	RGAVP	MSW	06.10.1969	M	9783648189; 9413262215	kirit_udr@yahoo.in
36	Praveen Meena	AC	Rajasthan	RGAVP	PGDFM	30.01.1986	M	9521322504	praveen.meena1p86@gmail.com
37	Homam Khan	YP	Rajasthan	RGAVP	MSW	31.03.1991	M	8744847114	khan.homan@gmail.com
38	Samuel Indabaudai	Project Officer	Tamil Nadu	TNSRLM	B. Sc, MBA	18.05.1971	M	9445034100	dpiu_cnn@yahoo.com
39	P. Babu	Project Director	Tamil Nadu	TNSRLM	ME	15.12.1981	M	9444094451	dpiu_trc@yahoo.com
40	S. Shobha	Assist. Director	Tamil Nadu	TNSRLM	M. Sc	21.05.1969	F	9444094216	tncdwho@yahoo.co.in
41	K. Sreedevi	PM	Telangana	SERP	M. Sc	11.02.1966	F	9010022203	pm2salserp@gmail.com
42	M. D. Haritha	SPM	Telangana	SERP	B. Sc	03.09.1972	F	7330722262	spmsalserp@gmail.com

43	Pradip Das	ME	Uttar Pradesh	UPSRLM	MBA		M	96546974 25	pradipdas125@gmail.com
44	Amit Saha	SPM	West Bengal	WBSRLM	PGDRM	26.10.197 6	M	96747576 39	livihoods.wbsrlm@gmail.com
45	Manu Sinha			FAO			M	94250236 36	manu@frameworks.org.in
46	Satya Priya			FAO			F	98714746 41	Satya.Priya@fao.org